Final partnership's monitoring questionnaire





SMART
Science and Mathematics Advanced Research for good Teaching
1. First Name
2. Last Name
Objectives
3. Have the SMART project objectives been achieved? Contrassegna solo un ovale.
Yes
No To a contain autout
To a certain extent
4. Did you meet any obstacles in pursuing the project objectives? Contrassegna solo un ovale.
Yes
○ No
5. If yes, how did you face and solve them?
6. Has the timetable foreseen in the project GANTT been respected?
Contrassegna solo un ovale.
Yes No
To a certain extent

	Has any change affected the achievement of the results? Contrassegna solo un ovale.	
	Yes	
	No	
	To a certain extent	
8.	Was the work plan clear to the whole partnership in terms of tasks and time? Contrassegna solo un ovale.	
	Yes	
	No	
	To a certain extent	
9.	Were tools to facilitate the acquisition of STEM subjects realized? Contrassegna solo un ovale.	
	Yes	
	No	
10.	Was the foreseen number of learning modules produced? Contrassegna solo un ovale.	
	Yes	
	○ No	
1	Erasmus+ Co-funded by the European Union	74
Pa	ırtnership	
11.	Has the project transnational dimension achieved the prefixed objectives of coo and contamination?	peration
	Contrassegna solo un ovale.	
	Yes	
	Tes	
	No No	
12.	No	ent of the
12.	No To a certain extent Evaluate the partners' participation in the project activities and in the achievement	ent of the
12.	No To a certain extent Evaluate the partners' participation in the project activities and in the achievement objectives:	ent of the
12.	No To a certain extent Evaluate the partners' participation in the project activities and in the achievement objectives: Contrassegna solo un ovale.	ent of the

13. Was the work plan respected?		
Contrassegna solo un ovale.		
Yes		
No		
To a certain extent		
	the communication among partners?	
Contrassegna solo un ovale.		
Yes		
No		
15. If yes, what kind of difficulties? Contrassegna solo un ovale.		
Linguistic		
Organizational		
Technological		
Other		
16. Were they solved?		
Contrassegna solo un ovale.		
Yes		
No		
17. Has each partner contributed as i Contrassegna solo un ovale.	ndicated in the work plan?	
Not at all		
Little		
Enough A lot		
A lot		
200		.***.
Erasmus+	Co-funded by the European Union	1.1
Impact		
18. Did the SMART project have any Contrassegna solo un ovale.	impact in your organization?	
_		
Not at all		
Little		
Enough		
A lot		

19. Were the local stakeholders involved either in the realization or in the evaluation? Contrassegna solo un ovale.
Not at all
Little
Enough
A lot
20. Were learning communities created thanks to e-learning activities? Contrassegna solo un ovale.
Yes
○ No
21. Do yuo evaluate the project platform as effective?(in terms of graphics, usability, contents Contrassegna solo un ovale.
Non at all
Little
Enough
A lot
Erasmus+ Co-funded by the European Union Communication
Communication 22. Evaluate the efficacy of the communication system within the partnership(e-mail, webinar etc.):
Communication 22. Evaluate the efficacy of the communication system within the partnership(e-mail, webinar etc.): Contrassegna solo un ovale.
Communication 22. Evaluate the efficacy of the communication system within the partnership(e-mail, webinar etc.): Contrassegna solo un ovale. Very poor
Communication 22. Evaluate the efficacy of the communication system within the partnership(e-mail, webinar etc.): Contrassegna solo un ovale. Very poor Poor
Communication 22. Evaluate the efficacy of the communication system within the partnership(e-mail, webinar etc.): Contrassegna solo un ovale. Very poor Poor Fairly good
Communication 22. Evaluate the efficacy of the communication system within the partnership(e-mail, webinar etc.): Contrassegna solo un ovale. Very poor Poor Fairly good Good
Communication 22. Evaluate the efficacy of the communication system within the partnership(e-mail, webinar etc.): Contrassegna solo un ovale. Very poor Poor Fairly good
Communication 22. Evaluate the efficacy of the communication system within the partnership(e-mail, webinar etc.): Contrassegna solo un ovale. Very poor Poor Fairly good Good
Communication 22. Evaluate the efficacy of the communication system within the partnership(e-mail, webinar etc.): Contrassegna solo un ovale. Very poor Poor Fairly good Good Excellent
Communication 22. Evaluate the efficacy of the communication system within the partnership(e-mail, webinar etc.): Contrassegna solo un ovale. Very poor Poor Fairly good Good Excellent Coordination 23. Did the project leader respect the deadlines?
Communication 22. Evaluate the efficacy of the communication system within the partnership(e-mail, webinar etc.): Contrassegna solo un ovale. Very poor Poor Fairly good Good Excellent Coordination 23. Did the project leader respect the deadlines? Contrassegna solo un ovale.

24. Did all the partners respect the work packages in terms of deadlines and contents?
Contrassegna solo un ovale.
Yes
No
To a certain extent
25. Was the Project Management Group effective?
Contrassegna solo un ovale.
Not at all
Little
Enough
A lot
26. Did the Project Management Group have to apply corrective actions? Contrassegna solo un ovale.
Yes
No
27. Was the work plan shared within the partnership? Contrassegna solo un ovale.
Yes
○ No
28. Were the project meeting agendas clear? Contrassegna solo un ovale.
Yes
Yes No
No
No 29. Were they respected?
No 29. Were they respected? Contrassegna solo un ovale.
No 29. Were they respected? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes
No 29. Were they respected? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes No No 30. Were the roles of the project referents clear?
No 29. Were they respected? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes No 30. Were the roles of the project referents clear? Contrassegna solo un ovale.
No 29. Were they respected? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes No No 30. Were the roles of the project referents clear? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes Yes
29. Were they respected? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes No 30. Were the roles of the project referents clear? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes No No 31. Was the teamwork actually achieved sharing expertise and experiences by all the
No 29. Were they respected? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes No 30. Were the roles of the project referents clear? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes No No 31. Was the teamwork actually achieved sharing expertise and experiences by all the partners?
No 29. Were they respected? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes No 30. Were the roles of the project referents clear? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes No No 31. Was the teamwork actually achieved sharing expertise and experiences by all the partners? Contrassegna solo un ovale.
29. Were they respected? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes No 30. Were the roles of the project referents clear? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Yes No No 31. Was the teamwork actually achieved sharing expertise and experiences by all the partners? Contrassegna solo un ovale. Not at all

Powered by

